

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/914,494	08/29/2001	Jose Arnau	CM 2057M	8081	
27752 7	7590 10/27/2004		EXAMINER		
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			DOUYON. LORNA M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENTER CINCINNATI	HILL AVENUE		1751		
CINCINNATI,	, OII 43224		DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$-\phi$			
Office Action Summary		09/914,494	ARNAU ET AL.	/			
		Examiner	Art Unit	·			
		Lorna M. Douyon	1751				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess			
I HE - External control contro	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comr	nunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	August 2004.					
2a)⊠	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims			,			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 17-21 and 23-30 is/are pending in the	e application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
1	Claim(s) <u>17-21 and 23-30</u> is/are rejected.						
l	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar :					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		w the Evernines				
/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			1 404/4/			
11) 🔲 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	1. 12 I(u). 152			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 📙	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been r	eceived in this National Sta	ge			
* \$	application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list						
J	oo the attached actailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceivea.				
Attachment		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			
J.S. Patent and Tra		6)					
PTOL-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 10	0262004			

Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

1. This action is responsive to the amendment filed on August 10, 2004.

2. Claims 17-21 and 23-30 are pending.

3. Claim 17 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ridley (US

Patent No. 4,678,593) for the reasons set forth in the previous office action.

4. Claims 17-20 and 23-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Salager et al. (EP 0,846,756), hereinafter "Salager" for the reasons set forth in the previous office

action.

5. Claim 21 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salager as

applied to the above claims, and further in view of Creed (US Patent No. 6,007,735) for the

reasons set forth in the previous office action.

6. The terminal disclaimer filed on August 10, 2004 disclaiming the terminal portion of any

patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patent

No. 6,630,438 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicants' arguments filed August 10, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Art Unit: 1751

With respect to the anticipation rejection of claim 17 based upon Ridley, Applicants argue that the present invention, as amended, discloses percentages of a heavy metal ion sequestrant from 0.5% to 5% by weight of the tablet and Ridley does not disclose or even suggest such percentages.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the above arguments because the soap bar compositions of Ridley, in particular, Example VI which comprises clay, perfume and no pearlescent materials such as TiO₂ coated mica or TiO₂, also contains heavy metal ion sequestrants which are 3 wt% tripotassium citrate monohydrate and 0.1 wt% EDTA, (3.1 wt% total) both meeting the required proportion of sequestrants.

With respect to the obviousness rejection based upon Salager, Applicants argue that there is nothing therein which relates to the problem of clay/perfume interaction, much less suggests that Schiff bases are the source of the problem, and that there is no disclosure or suggestion of the presence of a heavy metal ion sequestrant from 0.5% to 5% by weight of the tablet.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the above arguments because, as stated in the previous office action, the perfumes of Salager are those perfumes that are commonly used in detergents (see page 9, lines 51-53), hence would have included perfumes that are free of Schiff bases. With respect to the heavy metal ion sequestrant, on page 10, line 25, Salager teaches sodium HEDP, a known heavy metal ion sequestrant, in an amount from 0.62 to 0.79 wt%, which meets the recited amount.

With respect to the rejection of claim 21 based upon Salager in view of Creed, Applicants argue that nothing therein evidences any suggestion that the clay-coated tablets should be employed with perfumes whose components exclude Schiff bases.

Art Unit: 1751

The response to Salager above applies here as well. Each of Salager and Creed teaches coated tablets, hence the idea of combining the sequestrant coating of Creed and the clay coating of Salager flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art. See *In re Kerkhoven*, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980) and MPEP 2144.06.

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lorna M. Douyon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1313. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1751

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lorna M. Douyon

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1751